

OFFICER REPORT TO LOCAL COMMITTEE (SURREY HEATH)

SMALL DISADVANTAGED AREAS FUND 2010-2011 14 OCTOBER 2010

KEY ISSUE

For the Committee to decide which of the bids submitted should be recommended to the Small Disadvantaged Areas Fund Panel for funding consideration.

SUMMARY

Local Committees have been asked to consider and prioritise bids submitted for funding within their borough, and recommend to the Small Disadvantaged Areas Fund Panel those which it considers should be funded through the Small Disadvantaged Areas Fund.

Three separate bids have been submitted to the Local Committee for consideration. Copies of the bid documentation is attached at **Annex A**.

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

The Local Committee (Surrey Heath) is asked to:

- (i) Consider all the bids detailed in paragraph 2.4 (and attached at Annex A);
- (ii) Agree which bid or bids they wish to submit to the Small Disadvantaged Areas Fund Panel: and
- (iii) Consider what order of priority they wish to reflect in their submission.

1 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

- 1.1 In May 2010, Dr Andrew Povey, the Leader of Surrey County Council, announced a new fund from the Project Initiatives Fund called the Small Disadvantaged Areas Fund.
- 1.2 In launching this fund, the Leader said that:

"The extent of borrowing undertaken by the previous government is such that there will inevitably be a reduction in public expenditure over the next few years. In Surrey, I feel it is important that we try to help some of our more disadvantaged areas to <u>help themselves</u> to develop economically, socially and in a way that is sustainable.

Projects could well take on board the 'Digital Inclusion' work, which is one way of strengthening communities, but ideas and innovation are welcome!"

- 1.3 The purpose of the Fund was to develop initiatives which reduce inequalities and empower small to medium-sized communities in Surrey which experience relative disadvantage to work with the County Council and other relevant partners to develop local solutions to the problems facing them.
- 1.4 He requested that Local Committees assess the bids that were submitted for projects within their area, and decide which of the bids should be submitted for consideration by the central Small Disadvantaged Bids Panel for funding. The Panel would then meet in December to consider the recommendations, and a formal announcement of those that had been successful would be made at the Council meeting on 14 December 2010.

2 ANALYSIS

- 2.1 All projects that are being submitted to the Panel for consideration will be expected to have demonstrated the following:
 - Innovation: model new ways of listening to residents (such as digital inclusion) and involving them in developing services, which meet the needs of their neighbourhood in a sustainable way.
 - **Involvement**: work with residents, statutory partners and the voluntary/faith sector to embed increased confidence and cohesion in communities, which make a difference & can lead to sustained improvements in quality of life, opportunities and achievement.
 - Integration: demonstrate genuine partnership working which pursues a shared vision (ideally through the relevant Local Strategic Partnership), operates with a "Total Place" methodology in terms of sharing resources and involves services adjusting their delivery to reflect local conditions.

- 2.2 They will also be required to show how they relate and contribute to County Council's five strategic themes
 - Core Offer
 - Personal responsibility
 - Deciding and delivering locally
 - Prevention
 - Working together
- 2.3 In addition, the Local Committee are asked to look to recommend projects where the Committee can demonstrate that the projects have:
 - Made progress in taking forward the principles of Localism and "Total Place".
 - Developed a clear understanding of the profile of disadvantage in their district/borough.
 - Evidenced multi-agency activity that responds to needs and opportunities in the most disadvantaged neighbourhoods.
 - Addressed improvements in education, skills, tacking anti-social behaviour/vandalism and other social matters of concern.
 - A continuing record of investment in projects or have an emerging focus
 of priority which could strengthen these neighbourhoods and increase the
 range of opportunities available to their residents
 - The potential to deliver sustained capacity-building in that community.
- 2.4 Three bids have been submitted to the SCC Local Committee Surrey Heath. In summary, the bids comprise:

Chobham Recreation Ground Charitable Trust

Applied for: £125,000.00

Chobham is a rural community and contrary to perception, has areas of deprivation exacerbated by family breakdown. It has 2 local authority housing estates and the Kalima settled traveller site. The village suffers from poor public transport links. It does not have a secondary school. Young and old, advantaged and disadvantaged use the recreation ground facilities as a meeting place for sport and leisure.

The Trust has identified three activities for funding – the children's playground, the scout hut and the football club pavilion.

St Michael's Community Project

Applied for: £28,000.00

St Michael's is part of the Super Output Area 008A and the focus for this project/funding is a 120 unit Accent Peerless Housing Association flats. The project is the provision of a small playground for the children of the local area, with target ages between 3-14 year olds.

The St Michael's Community Group consists of a membership that includes community representatives and statutory partners/agencies and, should funding be agreed, the lead agency for the project will be Accent Peerless.

Old Dean Community Group

Applied for: £30,720.

The Old Dean is identified as a priority area in Surrey Heath and is ranked 5th within the top priority grouping relating to children and young people in Surrey. This application seeks funding for the provision of a dedicated part-time staff post that will provide comprehensive support to a number of families in need who live in the Esher Road area of the estate.

The Old Dean Community Group (ODCOG) has a membership that includes community and faith representatives, statutory partners and agencies, and the group will work with the Surrey Heath Confederation of Schools in providing support and overview for the project.

3 FINANCIAL AND VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS

3.1 All successful bids will be funded out of the allocated money within the Project Initiatives Fund. The essence of the project is that it is to provide communities with the ability to support themselves over a sustained period. Therefore there are no further financial implications.

4 EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS

4.1 The successful bids will support some of the more disadvantaged areas of Surrey to develop sustainable mechanisms to develop economically and socially.

5 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

5.1 There are no identified crime and disorder implications at this time.

6 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 The recommendation is that the Committee scrutinise and assess the bids submitted for consideration and recommend to the Small Disadvantaged Areas Fund Panel those it would recommend for funding through this Fund.

7 WHAT HAPPENS NEXT

7.1 The Small Disadvantaged Areas Fund Panel will consider all the recommended bids in December and formally announce the successful candidates at the Surrey County Council meeting on 14 December 2010.

7.2 The successful candidates will then have until March 2012 to utilise the funds as set out within their bid form and will have to report back the outcomes of their spend by June 2012.

LEAD OFFICER: Carolyn Rowe

Area Director North West Surrey

TEL: 01483 518093

E-MAIL: Carolyn.rowe@surreycc.gov.uk

REPORT BY: Lesley Trusler

Local Committee & Partnership Officer – Surrey Heath

TEL: 01276 800269

E-MAIL: Lesley.trusler@surreycc.gov.uk

BACKGROUND Small Disadvantaged Areas Fund Guidance – Annex

PAPERS:

Small Disadvantaged Areas Fund Application Form